## **Origin of Polarity in Amorphous SrTiO<sub>3</sub>**

Anatoly I. Frenkel,<sup>1,\*</sup> David Ehre,<sup>2</sup> Vera Lyahovitskaya,<sup>2</sup> Leah Kanner,<sup>1</sup> Ellen Wachtel,<sup>2</sup> and Igor Lubomirsky<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physics Department, Yeshiva University, 245 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10016, USA

<sup>2</sup>Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

(Received 16 August 2007; published 20 November 2007)

Although neither  $SrTiO_3$  nor  $BaZrO_3$  has any polar crystalline polymorphs, they may form noncrystalline pyro- and piezoelectric phases [Adv. Mater. **19**, 1515 (2007)]. These phases and the similar phase of  $BaTiO_3$  have been called quasiamorphous. In this Letter, the structure of the quasiamorphous phase of  $SrTiO_3$  is examined by the x-ray absorption fine structure technique and found to be built of a random network of polar octahedral TiO<sub>6</sub> local bonding units. While in crystalline  $SrTiO_3$  all TiO<sub>6</sub> octahedra are apex sharing only, in its amorphous and quasiamorphous phases, some octahedra share edges. The polarity of the quasiamorphous phase is due to the partial alignment of the TiO<sub>6</sub> octahedra. Such a mechanism is completely different from that of inorganic polar crystals. This mechanism should be possible in a large variety of other compounds that contain similar local bonding units.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.215502

PACS numbers: 61.43.Er, 61.10.Ht, 64.70.Nd

The recent discovery of the noncrystalline polar (called quasiamorphous) phases of SrTiO<sub>3</sub> and BaZrO<sub>3</sub> [1] represents the first known instance of materials that do not have polar crystalline polymorphs but do form noncrystalline phases which are polar. Existence of the quasiamorphous phases of SrTiO<sub>3</sub> and BaZrO<sub>3</sub> was predicted by the theory developed for the quasiamorphous phase of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> [2,3]. This theory states that in the amorphous state of these materials, there exists a random network (RN) of local bonding units (LBU), such as the  $TiO_6$  octahedra in SrTiO<sub>3</sub> and BaTiO<sub>3</sub> and the ZrO<sub>6</sub> octahedra in BaZrO<sub>3</sub>. In such a network, the local bonding units may be connected to each other in more than one way: apex-to-apex, edge-to-edge, or face-to-face. Therefore, the LBUs are distorted and possess local dipole moments. The network is stabilized by the presence of the divalent cations (Sr or Ba). The RN-LBU theory suggests that upon heating, the stability of the network is perturbed and some of the bonds between the LBUs are broken. In clamped thin films subjected to a strong temperature gradient, this process does not lead to crystallization but causes partial alignment of the LBUs, thereby imparting to the films a macroscopic polarization [2]. Thus, the amorphous nonpolar phase transforms into one, which is polar quasiamorphous. Here we use x-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) analysis of amorphous, quasiamorphous, and crystalline films of  $SrTiO_3$  to show definitively that (a) the TiO<sub>6</sub> LBU can be connected in more than one way, and (b) the transformation of the nonpolar amorphous into polar quasiamorphous phase is accompanied by structural changes involving the stabilizing cation (Sr or Ba). This experimental evidence is required to prove the validity and generality of the RN-LBU theory. In contrast to the previously studied BaTiO<sub>3</sub>, where the Ti K edge and Ba  $L_3$  edge overlap, thereby complicating quantitative analysis [3], XAFS studies of  $SrTiO_3$  are not hindered by an overlap between the Ti and Sr absorption edges.

For the current studies, amorphous 100 nm thick SrTiO<sub>3</sub> films were deposited by rf sputtering. The quasiamorphous form was obtained by pulling the as-deposited films through a temperature gradient with a peak temperature of 520 °C [1]. Polycrystalline films were prepared by annealing as-deposited films at 560 °C. The details of the experimental procedure are given in Refs. [4-6]. No indication of crystallinity was found in the amorphous and quasiamorphous films either by x-ray diffraction or by scanning electron microscopy (data not shown). Ti and Sr K edge x-ray absorption spectra of the SrTiO<sub>3</sub> thin films were measured in the fluorescence mode on beam line X11A of the National Synchrotron Light Source (NSLS), Brookhaven National Laboratory. Ti K edge spectra of EuTiO<sub>3</sub> powder measured on the same beam line (courtesy B. Ravel) were used as a reference. The experimental details were similar to those used previously for the XAFS investigation of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> [3].

The Ti x-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) data of all samples contain a pronounced peak at 4967 eV corresponding to the 1*s*-3*d* transition (peak *A*, Fig. 1). This transition is dipole-forbidden in the atom by the  $\Delta L = 1$  selection rule [7] and not readily observable. However, if the final state of the photoelectron has some *p*-like character (e.g., via *p*-*d* mixture at the Ti site [8]) then the intensity of peak *A* is greatly enhanced, indicating that the local environment of Ti<sup>4+</sup> is noncentrosymmetric [9]. Farges *et al.* [10], showed that the intensity and the energy of peak *A* fall within three distinct groupings depending on whether the coordination number of Ti is 4, 5, or 6 [3,10]. Although peak *A* observed for amorphous and quasiamorphous SrTiO<sub>3</sub> is shifted by 0.2 eV toward lower energies with respect to the quasiamorphous BaTiO<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 1), its

0031-9007/07/99(21)/215502(4)



FIG. 1 (color online). Ti *K* edge XANES spectra of crystalline, amorphous and quasiamorphous  $SrTiO_3$ . The data for amorphous, crystalline phase of  $BaTiO_3$  (Ref. [2]) and bulk  $EuTiO_3$  are given for comparison. Inset shows the peak *A* (1*s*-3*d* region), with average magnitudes of the Ti atom displacement.

position and intensity clearly place it within the domain of sixfold coordination (cf. Fig. 4 in Ref. [3]). Therefore, the Ti ion must be present in the distorted octahedral environment. For perovskites, the area of peak A is proportional to the square of the off-center displacement, d [11]

$$A = \frac{\gamma}{3}d^2,\tag{1}$$

where  $\gamma$  is a constant that, for the Ti ion, varies between 11.2 and 13.6 eV/Å<sup>2</sup> [12,13]. The total off-center displacement, *d*, includes a dynamic contribution  $d_d$ , which is dominated by thermal vibrations [12,13] in nonpolar crystalline perovskites EuTiO<sub>3</sub> and SrTiO<sub>3</sub>, and a static

contribution  $d_s$ , caused by permanent loss of the inversion symmetry. The dynamic contribution is expected to be similar for all perovskite titanates at room temperature [10]. Therefore, the static contribution,  $d_s^{\text{STO}}$ , in SrTiO<sub>3</sub> can be determined by taking the difference of its peak area and that in EuTiO<sub>3</sub> at the same temperature ( $\Delta A = A^{\text{STO}} - A^{\text{ETO}}$ ). Using the average value of  $\bar{\gamma} = 12.4 \text{ eV}/\text{Å}^2$ , one obtains

$$d_s^{\text{STO}} \approx \sqrt{(d_d^{\text{ETO}})^2 + 3\Delta A/\bar{\gamma}} - d_d^{\text{STO}}$$
$$= \sqrt{(d_d^{\text{ETO}})^2 + 3\Delta A/\bar{\gamma}} - d_d^{\text{ETO}}.$$
(2)

In amorphous and quasiamorphous thin films of SrTiO<sub>3</sub> the static displacement,  $d_s^{\text{STO}} = 0.30$  Å, exceeds by far that found for  $d_d^{\text{ETO}} = 0.103$  Å in cubic EuTiO<sub>3</sub>. A small static off-center displacement in the crystalline SrTiO<sub>3</sub> ( $d_s = 0.1$  Å, Fig. 1) is consistent with the previous report of 0.08 Å [14] and can be attributed to the small deviation from cubic symmetry previously observed in thin films of SrTiO<sub>3</sub> [15]. The magnitude of  $d_s^{\text{STO}}$  implies local symmetry breaking leading to the existence of a strong, permanent dipole moment associated with each TiO<sub>6</sub> LBU.

A graph of the Fourier transform magnitudes of Ti K edge extended XAFS (EXAFS) data for the amorphous, quasiamorphous, and crystalline samples [Fig. 2(a)] as a function of distance, R, relative to Ti reveals two important features. (i) The peak corresponding to the first coordination shell Ti-O (1.4 Å, uncorrected for the photoelectron phase shift) is preserved in all samples. This observation agrees with the XANES data that the TiO<sub>6</sub> octahedra, the LBUs of the crystalline phase of SrTiO<sub>3</sub>, are present in the amorphous and quasiamorphous phases as well. The decrease in intensity of this peak in the amorphous and quasiamorphous phases with respect to that in the crystal-



FIG. 2 (color online). Fourier transform magnitudes (uncorrected for the photoelectron phase shifts) of the  $k^2$ -weighted EXAFS spectra of crystalline, amorphous and quasiamorphous SrTiO<sub>3</sub>: (a) the Ti edge EXAFS (*k* range from 2.5 to 9 Å<sup>-1</sup>). The inset shows fit to the amorphous SrTiO<sub>3</sub> data. The arrows point to the peaks corresponding to the two different types of connections shown by cartoons (edge-to-edge and apex-to-apex). The peak due to Ti-Sr bonds in the crystal overlaps that due to the Ti-Ti bonds. (b) Sr *K* edge (*k* range from 2 to 8.5 Å<sup>-1</sup>).

line phase can be attributed to the bond length disorder caused by Ti off-center displacement, which also agrees with the XANES data. (ii) The contribution of Sr-Ti and apex-sharing Ti-Ti (along with collinear Ti-O-Ti) bonds are not detectable in amorphous samples, though clearly visible in the spectrum of the crystalline  $SrTiO_3$  [Fig. 2(a)]. This proves unambiguously that  $TiO_6$  octahedra in amorphous samples do not form periodic linkages. The spectrum of the quasiamorphous phase is slightly different from that of the amorphous one only in the region characterizing the second-neighbor shell. This fact implies that the transformation from the amorphous to quasiamorphous phase does not cause significant changes to the  $TiO_6$  octahedra but involves changes in the second-neighbor shell.

In the graph of the Fourier transform magnitudes of Sr K edge EXAFS for all three samples [Fig. 2(b)], the peak corresponding to the Sr-O shell in the amorphous and quasiamorphous samples (1.8 Å, uncorrected for the photoelectron phase shift) is slightly shifted and distorted with respect to the crystalline SrTiO<sub>3</sub> [Fig. 2(b) inset]. The peaks corresponding to the next neighbor shells are not well developed in the amorphous and quasiamorphous films of SrTiO<sub>3</sub> [Fig. 2(b)] but their FT magnitudes do differ from each other beyond the level of the noise [Fig. 2(b)]. These facts together imply that the local symmetry of the Sr environment in amorphous and quasiamorphous phases is lost and the transformation from the amorphous to quasiamorphous phase involves the rearrangement of the Sr ions in both the first and second coordination shells.

Noticeable optical and mechanical anisotropy of the quasiamorphous samples render quantitative analysis of the EXAFS spectra inconclusive. Therefore, the fitting of the EXAFS data was performed for only isotropic amorphous SrTiO<sub>3</sub> using IFEFFIT [16] and FEFF6 [17] packages (Table I). The Ti and Sr *K* edge EXAFS data were fit simultaneously, by constraining the Sr-Ti bond to have the same distance and disorder as measured at either

edge. The EXAFS amplitude reduction factors were determined from the fits to the crystalline SrTiO<sub>3</sub>. To separate the static, temperature independent, and the dynamic, thermally activated contributions to the mean squared disorder  $(\sigma^2)$  in the Ti-O bond lengths, the theoretical EXAFS spectrum was calculated assuming a regular, undistorted octahedral cage. The best fit value of the Ti-O bond length disorder  $\sigma^2$  in amorphous SrTiO<sub>3</sub> (0.015 ± 0.003 Å<sup>2</sup>) was found to be much higher than in crystalline SrTiO<sub>3</sub>  $(0.0027 \pm 0.0010 \text{ Å}^2)$ , indicating strong static distortion within the  $TiO_6$  cage in the amorphous sample. The second peak in the graph of the Fourier transform magnitudes of the EXAFS of the Ti edge of amorphous SrTiO<sub>3</sub> was found to be due to the contribution from the Ti-Ti bond with a length of  $3.08 \pm 0.05$  Å. This Ti-Ti distance is typical for octahedra connected edge-to-edge (2.95-3.15 Å) and appears in a large variety of compounds [18-21]. In all these compounds, Ti ions must have strong off-center displacements, because were the edge-sharing octahedra undistorted (Ti in the center), the Ti-Ti distance would be only 2.76 Å. We modeled off-center displacements of Ti atoms in the [001], [011], and [111] directions of a cubic perovskite cell to match the experimentally observed Ti offcenter displacement and  $\sigma^2$  of the Ti-O bond. We excluded only those configurations that have an inversion symmetry (total dipole moment  $\vec{p} = 0$ ). The results for the [100], [110], or [111] directions of the Ti atom displacements (Table I) were all consistent with the experiment. Therefore, a real displacement is, most probably, a combination of all three possible directions.

Evidence for the presence of an edge-to-edge connection between  $\text{TiO}_6$  octahedra does not exclude the presence of the other types of contacts between  $\text{TiO}_6$  octahedra, such as apex-to-apex and face-to-face. Since these types of connections are very common in various titanates [19,21,22], one should expect that they are present but that the Ti-Ti bond length disorder is too large to allow them to be reliably detected.

| Sample                | Ti-O<br>Bond           |                                |  | Ti-Sr<br>Bond Bond disorder |                         |                              |                 |
|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
|                       | Coordination<br>Number | Coordinationlength, RNumber(Å) | Bond disorder $\sigma^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> ) | Coordination<br>Number      | length,<br><i>R</i> (Å) | $\sigma^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> ) | length, $R$ (Å) |
|                       |                        |                                | Experiment                                 |                             |                         |                              |                 |
| c-SrTiO <sub>3</sub>  | 6                      | 1.91(1)                        | 0.0027(10)                                 | 8                           | 3.37                    | 0.012(4)                     | 3.84(3)         |
| a-SrTiO <sub>3</sub>  | 6                      | 1.93(3)                        | 0.015(3)                                   |                             | •••                     |                              | 3.08(5)         |
| qa-SrTiO <sub>3</sub> | 6                      | 1.91(6)                        | 0.012(5)                                   |                             | •••                     |                              | 3.1(1)          |
|                       |                        |                                | Modeling                                   |                             |                         |                              |                 |
| $\vec{d}$   [100]     | 1, 4, 1                | 1.71, 1.97, 2.19, 1.96         | 0.0193                                     |                             | •••                     |                              | 3.10            |
| $\vec{d}$   [110]     | 2, 2, 2                | 1.79, 1.97, 2.13, 1.96         | 0.0193                                     |                             | •••                     |                              | 3.01            |
| $\vec{d}$   [111]     | 3, 3                   | 1.82, 2.10, 1.96               | 0.0196                                     |                             | •••                     |                              | 2.98            |

TABLE I. Experimental results and modeling of the bond lengths and disorder. The prefixes c, a, and qa denote crystalline, amorphous, and quasiamorphous phases. The symbol  $\vec{d} \parallel \lceil h k \rceil$  denotes the direction of the Ti off-center displacement  $\lceil h k \rceil$ .

The XANES and EXAFS data presented above provide evidence that the structures of both the amorphous and quasiamorphous phases of SrTiO<sub>3</sub> comprise a random network of  $TiO_6$  LBUs. In that respect, they are similar to the comparable phases of  $BaTiO_3$  [3]. The transformation from the amorphous to the quasiamorphous phase involves major structural changes in the local environment of Sr but not of Ti. During this transformation, the octahedra are expected to be susceptible to alignment by the anisotropic strain induced by the temperature gradient, which is the major factor in the formation of the polar quasiamorphous phase [4]. Partial alignment of randomly connected, distorted TiO<sub>6</sub> octahedra was considered to be the origin of polarity in the quasiamorphous phase of  $BaTiO_3$  [3]. This explanation is now suggested to suit SrTiO<sub>3</sub> as well. Therefore, one may conclude, based on this experimental evidence and theoretical predictions [2] that irrespective of whether a certain compound forms polar crystalline polymorphs, it can still form a polar noncrystalline phase. The macroscopic polarity of a quasiamorphous material is fundamentally different from that in crystalline inorganic pyroelectrics, where polarity is the direct result of a specific symmetry of the crystal lattice. In this view, our findings provide direct experimental verification of RN-LBU theory and prove that it can be used to search for other compounds that may form noncrystalline polar phases.

A.I.F. and L.K. acknowledge support by the U.S. Department of Energy Grant No. DE-FG02-05ER36184. NSLS is supported by the Divisions of Materials and Chemical Sciences of DOE. The authors would like to thank J.C. Woicik and V.L. Kraizman for useful discussions. The members of the group from the Weizmann Institute wish to acknowledge the Minerva Foundation, Israel Science Foundation, and the U.S.-Israel Binational Science Foundation for funding this research. The authors are thankful to Harold Perlman family for supporting the Weizmann Institute of Science.

\*Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed. anatoly.frenkel@yu.edu

- igor.lubomirsky@weizmann.ac.il
- D. Ehre, V. Lyahovitskaya, A. Tagantsev, and I. Lubomirsky, Adv. Mater. 19, 1515 (2007).
- [2] D. Ehre, H. Cohen, V. Lyahovitskaya, A. Tagantsev, and I. Lubomirsky, Adv. Funct. Mater. 17, 1204 (2007).

- [3] A. I. Frenkel, Y. Feldman, V. Lyahovitskaya, E. Wachtel, and I. Lubomirsky, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 024116 (2005).
- [4] V. Lyahovitskaya, Y. Feldman, I. Zon, E. Wachtel, K. Gartsman, A. K. Tagantsev, and I. Lubomirsky, Phys. Rev. B 71, 094205 (2005).
- [5] V. Lyahovitskaya, I. Zon, Y. Feldman, S. R. Cohen, A. K. Tagantsev, and I. Lubomirsky, Adv. Mater. 15, 1826 (2003).
- [6] V. Lyahovitskaya, I. Zon, Y. Feldman, S. Cohen, and I. Lubomirsky, Mater. Sci. Eng. B 109, 167 (2004).
- [7] E. A. Stern and S. M. Heald, in *Handbook on Synchrotron Radiation*, edited by E. E. Koch (North-Holland, New York, 1983), Vol. 1, Chap. 10.
- [8] V. L. Kraizman, A. A. Novakovich, R. V. Vedrinskii, and V. A. Timoshevskii, Physica (Amsterdam) 209B, 35 (1995).
- [9] L.A. Grunes, Phys. Rev. B 27, 2111 (1983).
- [10] F. Farges, G.E. Brown, and J.J. Rehr, Phys. Rev. B 56, 1809 (1997).
- [11] R. V. Vedrinskii, V. L. Kraizman, A. A. Novakovich, Ph. V. Demekhin, and S. V. Urazhdin, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 10, 9561 (1998).
- [12] B. Ravel, Ph.D. thesis, University of Washington, 1995.
- [13] B. Ravel, E. A. Stern, R. I. Vedrinskii, and V. Kraizman, Ferroelectrics 206, 407 (1998).
- [14] A. Kodre, I. Arčon, J. Gomilšek, and B. Zalar, x-ray Absorption Fine Structure—EXAFS Thirteen (AIP, Stanford, CA, USA, 2007), Vol. 882, p. 481.
- [15] J. C. Woicik, E. L. Shirley, C. S. Hellberg, K. E. Andersen, S. Sambasivan, D. A. Fischer, B. D. Chapman, E. A. Stern, P. Ryan, D. L. Ederer, and H. Li, Phys. Rev. B 75, 140103 (2007).
- [16] M. Newville, J. Synchrotron Radiat. 8, 322 (2001).
- [17] S. I. Zabinsky, J. J. Rehr, A. Ankudinov, R. C. Albers, and M. J. Eller, Phys. Rev. B 52, 2995 (1995).
- [18] Inorganic Crystal Structure Database, http://www.fizkarlsruhe.de/fiz/products/icsd/icsd.html, ICSD Collection Code 153286.
- [19] Inorganic Crystal Structure Database, http://www.fizkarlsruhe.de/fiz/products/icsd/icsd.html, ICSD Collection Code 34637.
- [20] Inorganic Crystal Structure Database, http://www.fizkarlsruhe.de/fiz/products/icsd/icsd.html, ICSD Collection Code 10455.
- [21] Inorganic Crystal Structure Database, http://www.fizkarlsruhe.de/fiz/products/icsd/icsd.html, ICSD Collection Code 71299.
- [22] R. D. Burbank and H. T. J. Evens, Acta Crystallogr. 1, 330 (1948).