Isolation of the pathogen Vibrio tapetis and defense parameters in brown ring diseased Manila clams Ruditapes philippinarum cultivated in England
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ABSTRACT: The Manila clam Ruditapes philippinarum was introduced for aquacultural purposes to Europe in the 1970s. In 1987, brown ring disease (BRD), caused by Vibrio tapetis, appeared in clams cultivated in Brouënoù (Finistère, France) and later became increasingly widespread and was reported in cultivated and wild clams existing on the Atlantic coasts of France and Spain. The present study reports, for the first time, the presence of BRD in clams cultivated in England. The etiologic bacterium was isolated and identified using bacteriological and serological techniques. The defence response of affected clams was also studied and significant changes in the hematological and biochemical characteristics of hemolymph and extrapallial fluids were demonstrated. Significant mobilization of hemocytes toward the extrapallial fluids, in contact with the main site of infection (mantle-periostracal lamina area), was observed, suggesting a role for these pseudo-internal compartments in the preservation of clam health.
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INTRODUCTION

In Europe, the Manila clam Ruditapes philippinarum, which is of Indo-Pacific origin, was introduced for aquacultural purposes to France between 1972 and 1975, England in 1980, and later in Spain and Italy in 1985 (Breber 1985, Flasch & Leborgne 1992). In France, this new venerid culture became increasingly widespread until 1986. Since this time, mass mortalities in clam beds have occurred, associated with Brown Ring Disease (BRD), a bacterial disease caused by a Vibrio strain first described as Vibrio P1 and named more recently Vibrio tapetis (Paillard et al. 1994, Borrego et al. 1996a). Moribund clams exhibited a brown conchiolin deposit on the inner face of the shell, within the extrapallial space. Histological observations of naturally and experimentally infected clams showed that V. tapetis colonize and alter the periostracal lamina (Paillard & Maes 1995, Allam et al. 1996). The disorganized periostracal lamina accumulates, forming the brown organic deposit.

In Europe, BRD has been observed in France and Spain in cultivated and recently established wild populations of Ruditapes philippinarum (Fig. 1). In 1990, BRD was also reported in Italy, in a lagoon of the north Adriatic Sea (Paillard et al. 1994). The typical BRD syndrome has not been reported on the Indo-Pacific coast nor the west coast of the United States and Canada, where this species is also cultivated (Paillard et al. 1994). Manila clams with an abnormal brown organic deposit, similar to the one observed in brown ring diseased animals, have been observed in 1997 in clams cultivated in southern England (Poole Harbour, Fig. 1). However, it was not known if these signs were the
This work was designed to answer 3 questions: (1) is the abnormal organic deposit observed in clams cultivated in England caused by infection with *Vibrio tapetis*? If so, it will be the first report of BRD in this country; (2) is there any modulation of defence factors in naturally diseased animals; and (3) what is the defence strategy used by the host to fight this infection? To investigate these problems, we attempted the isolation and identification of *V. tapetis* from clams showing the characteristic brown deposit using bacteriological and immunological methods. Once infection with BRD was confirmed, hemocyte counts and lysozyme activity measurements were performed from the hemolymph and extrapallial fluids of both healthy and diseased clams to evaluate the alterations in defence parameters in parasitized individuals.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacteriology.** Adult *Ruditapes philippinarum* were collected from clam beds at Poole Harbour (southern England, Fig. 1) in June 1997. The external shell surface of clams was washed by scrubbing under running tap water to remove mud and marine life, washed with 70% ethanol and allowed to dry. Clams were then opened aseptically by cutting the adductor muscle with a sterile knife. The mantle edge, including the periostracal lamina, of clams exhibiting the brown ring syndrome was surgically separated from the rest of the flesh and transferred to a sterile Eppendorf vial, then vortexed in sterile sea water (SSW; 1/10, w/v). A volume of 0.1 ml of serial dilutions (in SSW) of the resulting suspension was plated on marine agar and incubated for 72 h at 20°C.

The isolation of *V. tapetis* was performed according to Maes (1992). Briefly, replicate subcultures were used for testing 4 *V. tapetis* key characteristics: non-utilization of sucrose and mannitol, growth on TCBS (thiosulfate citrate bile sucrose), and failure to grow above 28°C. The colonies meeting these 4 requirements were purified and subjected to API 20E gallery system analysis and a slide agglutination test using rabbit anti-*V. tapetis* serum for confirmation.

The total bacterial burden in the CEF of diseased clams was also measured as described in Allam & Paillard (1998). Sub-samples of CEF were serially diluted and plated on standard marine agar or inoculated in standard marine culture broth. Counts were made after 2 wk of incubation at 20°C. Eighteen clams were analyzed for the presence of viable bacteria in their CEF. Since there are differences in the development and the localization of the organic deposit between the left and the right valve of a single individual, CEF sampled from each valve was ana-
lyzed separately in 9 clams. For the other 9 clams, the CEF from both valves was pooled before bacterial analysis.

**Pathogenicity test.** The isolated strain of *Vibrio tapetis* was inoculated into the pallial cavity of healthy clams as described in Paillard & Maes (1995) with slight modifications. Briefly, 0.5 ml of a suspension containing $10^8$ bacteria ml$^{-1}$ in SSW was injected into each clam. A first control set was inoculated with *V. tapetis* strains isolated from French diseased clams (laboratory collection strains) and a second control set was injected with SSW. Four weeks following challenge, all clams were opened and the percentage of diseased individuals was calculated.

**Immunofluorescence detection.** With the use of a binocular microscope, periostracal lamina and conchiolin deposit fragments were carefully transferred to sterile glass slides. An indirect immunofluorescence method using an anti-*Vibrio tapetis* rabbit serum (primary antibody) was applied to these fragments to identify the pathogen as described by Allam et al. (1996). Fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody was used as a secondary antibody. After incubation, the preparations were generously rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline and mounted in non-fluorescent microscopic oil before examination under epifluorescence microscopy.

**Defence parameters. Fluid sampling:** Hemolymph (HE) samples were withdrawn from the adductor muscle. Extrapallial fluid samples were collected by drilling holes through the external face of the shell without cutting the mantle. One hole was made in the central part of each valve to obtain CEF and a second in the sinusal part for the PEF (Allam & Paillard 1998).

**Hemocyte counts:** Samples were instantaneously diluted (v/v) with 0.2% ice-cold SSW-trypan blue solution and total and viable hemocyte counts were simultaneously determined with a hemacytometer. Results of total and viable hemocyte count are presented as $10^6$ cells ml$^{-1}$ and percentage of dead cells respectively.

**Lysozyme activity measurement:** Samples were centrifuged at 400 $\times$ g for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatants were withdrawn and the pelleted hemocytes were resuspended in SSW and adjusted to $10^6$ cell ml$^{-1}$, then sonicated (40 min in ice-cold water) to obtain cell lysate. Lysozyme concentrations in supernatants and cell lysate were determined spectrophotometrically according to Allam & Paillard (1998) using chicken egg white lysozyme (CEW, Sigma) as standard. Supernatant protein was measured using bovine serum albumin as standard (Bradford 1976). Lysozyme activity is given in concentration of CEW lysozyme equivalent expressed in $\mu$g mg$^{-1}$ protein for the supernatants, and per $10^6$ cells for the cell lysate.

**Classification of BRD syndrome.** Disease development was determined after meats were removed from shells as described by Paillard & Maes (1994). A disease index, taking into account the extent, location and thickness of the deposit was calculated. According to these authors, the degrees of the BRD ranged from few microscopic brown spots in early stage (BRD Index = -1) to a complete thick brown ring in advanced stage (BRD Index = 19).

**Statistical analysis.** Differences between test and control clams in mean total and viable cell counts, protein and lysozyme levels were assessed using Student’s $t$-test. Correlation between defence parameters and BRD Index was analyzed using Spearman’s rank correlation test. Significance levels were noted for each comparison.

## RESULTS

### BRD syndrome description

The typical conchiolin deposit was visible to the eye on the inner surface of the shell of 51 among 61 clams examined (Table 1). Signs of the disease were not detected macroscopically or microscopically in the remaining 10 clams which were, consequently, considered as healthy. The brown deposit was generally localized between the pallial line and the edge of the shell, within the peripheral compartment. In 94% of diseased clams, a complete ring of organic materials was observed in at least 1 valve. The deposit was also frequently detected in the sinusal compartment (63%) and to a lower extent in the central and subarticular compartments. The disease index varied between 1 and 15 on a theoretical scale of 19 maximum. Shell regeneration, which consists of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Complete ring</th>
<th>Peripheral compartment</th>
<th>Sinusal compartment</th>
<th>Central compartment</th>
<th>Recalciﬁcation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of clams</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. *Vibrio tapetis* infecting *Ruditapes philippinarum*. Microscopic detection of the pathogen (indirect immunofluorescence technique). *V. tapetis* adhering to fragments of the periostracal lamina of a diseased clam. pl: periostracal lamina; m: mucus; arrowheads: bacteria (×2175)

recovering the brown deposit with new calcified layers (Paillard & Maes 1994), was observed in 82% of diseased clams.

Detection and isolation of the pathogen

Microscopic observations

The use of the immunofluorescence detection technique on fragments of the periostracal lamina and the conchiolin deposit revealed the presence of numerous *Vibrio tapetis* (Fig. 2). Hemocyte-like cells were also observed on the periostracal lamina of diseased clams, near the pathogen. The pathogen was not observed on the periostracal lamina of healthy clams.

Isolation and virulence of the pathogen

The use of 4 key characteristics of the pathogen coupled with a slide agglutination test using rabbit anti-*Vibrio tapetis* serum allowed us to isolate a *V. tapetis* strain from diseased clams. The phenotypic profile (API 20E) of the isolated strain was similar to the profile of *V. tapetis* described by Paillard et al. (1994). The inoculation of this strain into healthy clams caused the development of the BRD in 75% of contaminated clams. This result was in the range of those observed following the challenge with French isolates of *V. tapetis* (60 to 98%).

Defence parameters

Differences between healthy and brown ring diseased clams

Total hemocyte counts (THC) were significantly higher in the HE, CEF and PEF of diseased clams when compared to healthy clams (Table 2). There was no significant difference in THC among HE, CEF and PEF of healthy clams. In diseased individuals, THC was extremely high in PEF (6.96 × 10^6 cells ml^-1) followed by CEF and finally HE (4.82 and 4.07 × 10^6 cells ml^-1, respectively).

In all compartments, the percentage of dead cells was significantly higher in diseased than in healthy clams (Table 2). The highest percentage of dead cells was found in the PEF (about 14%).

The supernatant of HE and CEF of diseased clams contained low protein concentrations when compared with healthy individuals. In PEF supernatant, no significant differences in protein were found between healthy and diseased clams.

Lysozyme activity in the supernatant of CEF and PEF was 2 to 3 times higher in diseased than in healthy individuals (Table 2). For HE, the situation was the opposite, with highest lysozyme activity in supernatant of healthy clams. No significant differences were observed in mean lysozyme activity in cell lysates between healthy and diseased clams (Table 2).

Relation with disease stages

Significant positive correlations were found between the BRD Index and THC in CEF and PEF but not in HE (Table 3). In all compartments, positive correlations were found between the BRD Index and the percentage of dead cells.

For protein and lysozyme correlation analysis, only HE and CEF were studied because PEF samples were pooled before protein and enzyme determination. In HE and CEF supernatant, severely infected clams (high BRD Index) showed the lowest protein contents (Table 3). For supernatant lysozyme, a negative correlation was observed in the HE. High negative correlations were found between BRD Index and cell lysate lysozyme in HE (r = -0.90, p = 0.003) and CEF (r = -0.86, p = 0.004).

Total bacterial burden in the CEF

A bacteriologically sterile CEF was encountered in some clams showing heavy conchiolin deposits.
Table 2. *Ruditapes philippinarum*. Cellular and humoral parameters in the body fluids of healthy and diseased clams (mean ± standard error). Significant differences (Student’s *t*-test) between healthy and diseased clams were noted at the 0.05 level (thin double bars) or the 0.01 level (bold double bars). Number of clams is indicated within parentheses. THC: total hemocyte counts, SN: supernatant, CL: cell lysate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Healthy Hemolymph</th>
<th>Diseased Hemolymph</th>
<th>Healthy Central extrapallial fluid</th>
<th>Diseased Central extrapallial fluid</th>
<th>Healthy Peripheral extrapallial fluid</th>
<th>Diseased Peripheral extrapallial fluid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THC (10^6 cells ml^-1)</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.12</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.20</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.19</td>
<td>4.82 ± 0.25</td>
<td>2.61 ± 0.18</td>
<td>6.96 ± 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead cells (%)</td>
<td>2.95 ± 0.31</td>
<td>7.88 ± 0.53</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.61</td>
<td>8.61 ± 0.59</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.48</td>
<td>13.89 ± 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN protein (mg ml^-1)</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN lysozyme (µg mg^-1 protein)</td>
<td>14.30 ± 2.00</td>
<td>8.75 ± 0.84</td>
<td>8.29 ± 1.36</td>
<td>16.47 ± 0.94</td>
<td>14.00 ± 1.55</td>
<td>37.30 ± 4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL lysozyme (µg 10^-6 cells)</td>
<td>7.30 ± 0.82</td>
<td>5.42 ± 0.36</td>
<td>5.42 ± 0.61</td>
<td>5.24 ± 0.31</td>
<td>6.33 ± 0.71</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. *Ruditapes philippinarum*. Correlation between BRD Index and (a) cellular and (b) biochemical parameters in the hemolymph (HE), the central (CEF) and the peripheral (PEF) extrapallial fluids. The arithmetic mean of each parameter was calculated from clams having the same BRD Index before the application of Spearman’s rank correlation test. The number of rank class (N) was equal to 12 unless for the PEF (N = 11). r: correlation coefficient, p: probability.

### DISCUSSION

The present study clearly demonstrates the occurrence of BRD in Manila clam cultivated in England. The etiologic agent was identified and isolated using improved bacteriological and immunological methods previously described (Maes 1992, Paillard & Maes 1995, Allam et al. 1996). The studies also showed that the *Vibrio tapetis* strains from diseased clams in the present study from England have a phenotypic profile similar to those from France (Paillard et al. 1994). In addition, the isolates demonstrated their ability to induce the disease at comparable levels when inoculated into healthy clams. Microscopic observations of diseased individuals using an immunofluorescence tech-
histologically observed in the connective tissue of the shell. Between countries and within regions of the same country, infiltration of hemocytes has been reported in Ruditapes philippinarum, pallial abscesses (Elston et al. 1999). In the case of Ruditapes species populations in England. Ruditapes decussatus) extrapallial space of juvenile C. virginica (and, to a lesser degree, the native species Ruditapes philippinarum) associated with the presence of bacterial bodies in the coasts of Western Europe. We therefore could suspect the mantle epithelium into the extrapallial fluid and mobilization of hemocytes toward the extrapallial space. Similar observations were made in juvenile C. virginica populations along the Atlantic coast of France and neighboring countries (Paillard et al. 1989) and since then appears to have spread to wild and cultivated populations in France and neighboring countries (Paillard et al. 1994). Based on experimental and field epidemiological studies, particularly those that describe slow growth of Vibrio tapetis and low development of the disease at temperatures higher than 21°C, Paillard et al. (1994, and unpubl.) claimed that BRD is a 'cold water' disease which appears to be spreading through Manila clam populations along the Atlantic coasts of Western Europe. We therefore could suspect that BRD will continue to spread to cultivated and wild R. philippinarum (and, to a lesser degree, the native species Ruditapes decussatus) populations in England. Commercial exchange of juveniles and/or larvae between countries and within regions of a same country; under particular hydrological conditions, probably help the transmission and development of the disease.

Almost all previous studies on molluscan immune system were focused on the investigation of hemocytes and humoral defence factors in HE (see reviews by Cheng 1981, 1996, Bayne 1983, Chu 1988, Feng 1988, Roch 1999). The new research strategy that we developed in our laboratory is based on the investigation of defence parameters not only in this internal fluid but also in the extrapallial fluids which bathe the infection site and where the pathogen is most abundant (Allam et al. 1996, Allam 1998a). In healthy clams, we have demonstrated the presence of consistent hemocyte and lysozyme activity in the CEF and PEF (Allam & Paillard 1998). In the present study, significantly higher THC and lysozyme activity were observed in the body fluids of diseased clams, particularly in the extrapallial fluids (CEF and PEF), in comparison with those in healthy individuals. In molluscan HE, hemocytosis is one of the cellular defence responses (Cheng 1981, Feng 1988) and the mobilization of hemocytes from tissues toward the circulatory compartment following pathological stress has been suggested in several molluscan species (Sparks & Morado 1988, Mounkassa & Jourdane 1990, Ford et al. 1993, La Peyre et al. 1995).

In our study, high THC in the extrapallial fluids (CEF and PEF) of diseased clams suggest a mobilization of immunocompetent cells to this compartment. As in the HE of the oyster Crassostrea virginica infected with Perkinsus marinus (Chu & La Peyre 1993) and Haplosporidium nelsoni (Ford et al. 1993), THC increased in the CEF and the PEF of clams in proportion to the intensity of the infection with BRD (BRD Index). Similar processes have already been observed in experimentally infected Ruditapes philippinarum with a progressive increase of THC in the CEF (PEF was not studied in this previous work) with the increase in BRD prevalence and index (Allam 1998a, Allam et al. 2000). In these previous studies, the increase of THC in the CEF after experimental challenge with Vibrio tapetis was interpreted as a mobilization of hemocytes toward the site of infection, e.g. the mantle area and extrapallial fluids.

Mobilization of hemocytes toward the extrapallial fluid has been described in juvenile Crassostrea virginica affected with another shell disease similar to BRD, Juvenile Oyster Disease (JOD), by Bricelj et al. (1992), who reported diapedesis of hemocytes through the mantle epithelium into the extrapallial fluid and onto the organic deposit. Similar observations were associated with the presence of bacterial bodies in the extrapallial space of juvenile C. virginica with extrapallial abscesses (Elston et al. 1999). In the case of Ruditapes philippinarum, infiltration of hemocytes has been histologically observed in the connective tissue of the shell.

### Table 4. Vibrio tapetis infecting Ruditapes philippinarum. Total bacterial burden in the central extrapallial fluid of diseased clams with different BRD Index. Central: presence (L: in the left valve, R: in the right valve) and absence (0) of the abnormal organic deposit in the central compartment of the shell. Pooled samples from both valves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clam no.</th>
<th>BRD Index</th>
<th>Central</th>
<th>Bacterial burden (log$_{10}$ cfu ml$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>L, R</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>L, R</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>L, R</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>L, R</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>L, R</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>L, R</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mantle in clams affected with BRD by Santamaria et al. (1995). The mobilization of hemocytes to the CEF and PEF of diseased clams observed here and in previous work (Allam 1998, Allam et al. 2000) reinforces the hypothesis of a role of these cells in fighting the disease agent, which are found at high levels in this space, and in repairing the damage. The presence of hemocyte-like cells on periostral lamina fragments, near the pathogen, supports our hypothesis. In vivo phagocytosis of *Vibrio tapetis* by hemocytes present in the CEF of *R. philippinarum* has already been described (Allam 1998).

A high percentage of lead cells (PDC) has been described in the extrapallial fluid of oysters affected with JOD (Paillard et al. 1996). In our study, the percentage was higher in diseased than healthy clams and it increased with the degree of infection (with high BRD Index). Similar results are already described in experimentally infected clams (Allam et al. 2000). However, reasons for this increase are not clear, especially in the HE. It may be due to the previously described hemolytic, cytotoxic and exotoxic activities of *Vibrio tapetis* (Borrego et al. 1996b) which was able to kill *Ruditapes philippinarum* hemocytes in vitro (Lane 1997, B.A. unpubl. data). Complex factors may also be involved: the poor physiological conditions of diseased clams after long periods of infection; other bacterial strains may be implicated since the total bacterial microflora increase significantly in diseased clams (Maes 1992, Allam et al. 1996). It is interesting to note that the PEF contained the highest PDC. This may be the result of direct interactions between hemocytes present in the PEF and *V. tapetis* abundantly present in this compartment, within the periostral lamina and the organic deposit.

Low protein contents are observed in the HE and CEF of heavily diseased clams. This could be the result of the low metabolic activities already described in experimentally infected individuals with advanced stages of the disease (Plana & Le Penne 1991, Plana et al. 1996). In the oyster *Cresostrea virginica*, individuals infected with *Haplosporidium nelsoni* had low HE and tissue protein (Ford 1986, Barber et al. 1988) when compared to healthy oysters. Also, as suggested by Chu & La Peyre (1993) in other circumstances, the decrease in HE protein may be the result of a defence strategy more favorable to cell (hemocyte) production to the detriment of circulating proteins.

In cell lysates, no significant differences were observed in mean lysozyme activity between healthy and diseased clams. This could be biased by the kinetics of synthesis and release of enzyme from hemocytes to plasma. A process already described in clams and oysters (Cheng et al. 1975, Cheng 1992, 1996). However, heavily diseased clams displayed the lowest lysozyme activity in their cell lysate of HE and CEF (Table 3). This may also be the result of an increase in the percentage of dead cells in seriously affected clams, resulting in a decrease in lysozyme activity per million cells since negative correlations were found between cell pellet lysozyme and the percentage of dead cells in CEF ($r = -0.43$, $p = 0.0018$) and HE ($r = -0.27$, $p = 0.058$). Conversely, when compared to healthy individuals, diseased clams showed a depression in lysozyme activity in the supernatant of the HE but a significant increase for the CEF and PEF. This disparity underlines the specificity of this humoral response within the extrapallial fluids. Experimental challenge of *Ruditapes philippinarum* with *Vibrio tapetis* also induced an increase in lysozyme activity in the CEF supernatants (Allam et al. 2000). This enzyme is believed to play a role in defence processes in bivalves (Cheng 1983, Chu 1988). In addition to its direct lytic effect, lysozyme enhances the bactericidal effect of antibacterial proteins against Gram-negative bacteria even if these bacteria are not lysed by this enzyme (Smith et al. 1995). High lysozyme activity described here in extrapallial fluids of diseased clams undoubtedly plays a protective role against *V. tapetis* and/or possible secondary infections of the mantle.

An effective host defence reaction results in the neutralization of the pathogen and damage repair. The shell repair process (recalcification), usually associated with a decrease in *Vibrio tapetis* (and total bacterial microflora) burden in clams (Allam et al. 1996), was observed here in 82% of diseased clams. This process may be related to the efficiency of the defence response (e.g. high THC and lysozyme activity, other parameters, etc.) that leads to the neutralization of the pathogen by means of phagocytosis and direct killing. Indeed, the CEF of some diseased clams was bacteriologically sterile despite the presence of the characteristic organic deposit within the central compartment of the shell (Table 4, clam nos. 2 and 12). These results underline the efficiency of defence factors in the extrapallial fluids in neutralizing invading microorganisms. The clams were collected during the late spring, a period associated with phytoplankonic bloom and food availability. Favorable nutritional conditions may help the clams in fighting the infection since laboratory experiments demonstrated a positive effect of food availability on the THC and the resistance of clams (weak development of BRD) (Plana & Le Penne 1991, Oubella et al. 1993).

In summary, we have demonstrated the presence of BRD in England. The etiologic agent, *Vibrio tapetis*, was isolated from diseased individuals. As in France, this disease may limit clam farming productivity in Great Britain. With regard to the defence response to the infection, the described increase of defence factors
in 'peripheral compartments' (CEF and PEF) in clams affected with a bacterial shell disease suggests a role of these pseudo-internal compartments in the preservation of clam health. The results also indicate that future work on bivalve immunity and defence, particularly in the case of shell diseases, should consider further the role of these fluids.

Acknowledgements. B.A. was supported by a fellowship from the French Government. We thank Alain Marhic and Alain Le Mercier for technical assistance, and Michel Auffret for valuable discussions.

LITERATURE CITED


Ford SE (1986) Comparison of hemolymph proteins from resistant and susceptible oysters, Crassostrea virginica, exposed to the parasite Haplosporidium nelsoni (MSX). J Invertebr Pathol 47:283–294


Cheng TC (1992) Selective induction and release of hydrolases from Crassostrea virginica hemocytes by certain


**Editorial responsibility:** Albert Sparks, Seattle, Washington, USA

**Submitted:** October 22, 1999; **Accepted:** February 21, 2000

**Proofs received from author(s):** May 23, 2000