Identification, molecular characterization and expression analysis of a mucosal C-type lectin in the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*
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Abstract

Lectins are well known to actively participate in the defense functions of vertebrates and invertebrates where they play an important role in the recognition of foreign particles. They have also been reported to be involved in other processes requiring carbohydrate–lectin interactions such as symbiosis or fertilization. In this study, we report a novel putative C-type lectin (CvML) from the eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica* and we investigated its involvement in oyster physiology. The cDNA of this lectin is 610 bp long encoding for a 161-residue protein. CvML presents a signal peptide and a single carbohydrate recognition domain (CRD) which contains a YPD motif and two putative conserved sites, WID and DCM, for calcium binding. CvML transcripts were expressed in mucocytes lining the epithelium of the digestive gland and the pallial organs (mantle, gills, and labial palps) but were not detected in other tissues including hemocytes. Its expression was significantly up-regulated following starvation or bacterial bath exposure but not after injection of bacteria into oyster’s adductor muscle. These results highlight the potential role of CvML in the interactions between oyster and waterborne microorganisms at the pallial interfaces with possible involvement in physiological functions such as particle capture or mucosal immunity.

1. Introduction

Molecular communication is widespread in the aquatic environment and is commonly used to mediate interactions among organisms including interaction between hosts and symbionts [1] or pathogenic microorganisms [2], mate attraction and mating [3], and predation strategies [4,5]. Among molecules known for non-self recognition and cell-to-cell or cell-to-matrix interactions, lectins are defined as a wide range of carbohydrate binding proteins of non immune origin that are able to agglutinate cells through interaction with carbohydrates associated with cell surface [6,7]. Lectins are widely distributed throughout living organisms including viruses, bacteria, fungi, protista, plants and animals [8], suggesting their involvement in essential physiological functions. Moreover, they present a great structural diversity and a variety of carbohydrate affinity that may reflect their participation in numerous functions in organisms [9]. In metazoans, lectins have been suspected or described to play a role in processes as diverse as self-defense [10–12], parasitism [13,14], symbiosis [1,15,16], reproduction [17], as well as selection of food particles in marine organisms [18,19]. They can assist animals by binding and immobilizing microorganisms through agglutination [18,20] and encapsulation [21,22] or can initiate a cascade of events leading, for example, to host colonization [1] or to limit pathogen infection [23–25].

In bivalves, lectins have been identified in hemolymph/hemocytes and were suggested to be implicated in defense mechanisms or pathogen uptake. For example, the C-type lectin (CfLec-1) recently cloned from the Zhikong scallop, *Chlamys farreri*, is able to aggregate bacteria and inhibit bacterial growth [26]. In addition, the C-type lectin (AiCTL1) identified from the bay scallop *Argopecten irradians* hemocytes is thought to be involved in injury healing and immune responses [27]. Finally, the galectin CvGal identified from *Crassostrea virginica* hemocytes facilitates the recognition of the Alveolate *Perkinsus marinus* and promotes its entry into the hemocytes [11].

In some rare cases, lectins have been suggested to be involved in mechanisms other than defense. For example, the lectin Codakine has been found to be the predominant protein in the gill of the symbiotic clam *Codakia orbicularis* leading Gourdie et al. [28] to propose its involvement in the mediation of symbiosis. In bivalve reproduction, several studies have reported that the binding of sperm to eggs is often mediated by lectin-like molecules. For instance, oyster bindin is similar to the F-lectin family of fucose binding lectins [29] and mussel lysin-M7 resembles the
carbohydrate recognition domain of C-type lectins [30]. These molecules have been identified in the acrosomal region of bivalve sperm and are very specific in a given species, helping avoid cross-fertilization [29].

Prior studies have also demonstrated the involvement of lectins in predator–prey interactions. For example, mannose-binding lectins were shown to represent feeding receptors for recognizing preys in the marine dinoflagellate Oxyrrhis marina [31] and in the amoeba Acanthamoeba castellanii [32]. In bivalve, the presence of lectins have been suspected [33] and recently demonstrated [34] in mucus covering pallial organs (gills, labial palps) in the oyster C. virginica [18,19] and the mussel Mytilus edulis [35,36]. These lectins have been suspected to be involved in particle capture and sorting in suspension-feeding bivalves [18,19,35,36].

We focused this study on the investigation of lectins associated with the pallial mucus of C. virginica in an attempt to identify the physiological functions of oyster lectins, particularly their involvement in mucosal immunity. We screened public EST (Expressed Sequence Tag) databases and used a diverse set of molecular techniques to identify lectin candidates associated with the pallial organs of C. virginica. These investigations allowed the identification of a secretory lectin (hereby designated CvML for C. virginica mucus lectin) that is specifically produced in mucocytes lining the pallial organs (gills, labial palps, and mantle) as well as oyster digestive gland. The full lectin sequence is presented and the transcription level of this molecule in response to different treatments including bacterial challenge and starvation was further investigated. Results highlight the potential involvement of this lectin in particle capture processes and in mucosal immunity.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Oysters

Adult (80–90 mm in shell length) eastern oysters, C. virginica, were obtained from a commercial source (Frank M. Flower and Sons Oyster Company, Oyster Bay, New York, USA). Their external shell surface was scrubbed to remove mud and marine life. Oysters were then randomly subdivided into 3 different groups. The first 2 groups were immediately used for RNA extraction/cDNA amplification or in situ hybridization analysis. The oysters from the last group were carefully v-notched at the dorsal posterior edge of the shell without damaging the mantle and acclimated in the laboratory for 1 week before being used in the challenge experiment (see below).

2.2. Bacterial culture

Vibrio alginolyticus, an opportunistic pathogen of shellfish and finfish, was initially isolated from oyster samples collected from Long Island Sound, New York, and grown on marine agar (Difco BD, USA) for 48 h. Bacterial colonies were collected by washing the plate with filtered artificial seawater (FAS). Resulting bacterial suspension was then centrifuged (800 g, 15 min, 4 °C), washed with FAS and cell concentration was spectrophotometrically adjusted to 1 × 10^8 bacteria ml⁻¹ in FAS.

2.3. RNA extraction

Six oysters were notched at the dorsal posterior edge of the shell and bled from the adductor muscle using a 10-ml syringe fitted with a 18-gauge needle. Hemolymph was pooled and centrifuged at 800 g for 10 min at 4 °C. Supernatant was discarded and total RNA was immediately extracted from hemocyte pellets using TRI Reagent® (MRC, Cincinnati, OH). Additionally, digestive gland, mantle, gills, labial palps, gonad, and muscle from oysters were separately dissected and used for RNA extraction following the same procedure. For each organ, RNA samples were pooled from all six individuals and used for cDNA amplification (section 2.5).

2.4. Homology screening and primers design

Public C. virginica EST database available at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI, Bethesda, MD, USA) was searched using the sequence of C-type lectin-1 from the Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas [BAF75353, [37]]. This lectin was chosen as a template since it was exclusively found in the epithelia of the digestive gland of C. gigas and not in the hemocytes, suggesting a possible role for this molecule in mucosal immunity. Seven EST from C. virginica showed very high homology with C. gigas lectin-1 (with e-values less than 10^-45). The EST CV088799 (1.e^-66) was selected and specific primers were designed (Table 1).

2.5. cDNA amplification

cDNA was generated from extracted RNA using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI) and was used as template with the set of primers listed in Table 1. The PCR reaction was carried out in an Eppendorf Mastercycler (ep gradient S) using GoTaq® DNA Polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI) for 10 min of initial denaturation, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (95 °C, 30 s), annealing (55 °C, 30 s), and extension (72 °C, 1 min), with an additional 10 min primer extension after the final cycle. PCR products were analyzed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and stained with ethidium bromide.

2.6. Rapid amplification of CvML cDNA ends

In order to confirm the exact sequence of the selected protein, the full-length cDNA of CvML was amplified by 3’ and 5’ rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) [38,39] using specific primers listed in Table 1. Briefly, total RNA was extracted from the digestive gland of oysters using methods described above. Reverse transcription for the generation of 5’ cDNA and 3’ cDNA ends were performed with the SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, USA) using CvML-R and Qt respectively. CvML cDNA ends were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer names</th>
<th>Primer Sequences (5’→3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT-PCR primers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CvML-F (Forward)</td>
<td>ATGACTATCAACGAGCCAGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CvML-R (Reverse)</td>
<td>CCGAGATGTAGTAGTGTCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RACE primers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qi</td>
<td>CACACTGAGCAGACTGAGAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qo</td>
<td>CGGACTTCGACTGACAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CvML-R (5’ RACE)</td>
<td>CGGACATGACTGACAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CvML-O-F (3’ RACE)</td>
<td>GACTTCAATGAGCAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CvML-O-R (5’ RACE)</td>
<td>CTTGTTATCGTGGACAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CvML-I-F (3’ RACE)</td>
<td>CTTGAGCTCGAGAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CvML-I-R (3’ RACE)</td>
<td>CTGAGCACGTGAGAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative real-time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-PCR primers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qCvML-F (Forward)</td>
<td>TGTGCTTGCCTGCTGACTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qCvML-R (Reverse)</td>
<td>AAAGTTATCCAGTGCTCCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18S-F (Forward)</td>
<td>CTGGTTATCCGAAAGACAGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18S-R (Reverse)</td>
<td>TGCTCAAATCGTCGTGAAACCGC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

Primers used in this study for the amplification of the expressed sequence tag (CvML) identified in Crassostrea virginica. The last 4 lines provide sequence information for the primers used in the quantitative Real-Time PCR.
amplified by PCR with Qt/Qo/CvML-O-R (5’ cDNA ends) and Qo/CvML-O-F (3’ cDNA ends). PCR products were thereafter used as template in a second set of amplification using Qi/CvML-I-R (5’ cDNA ends) and Qi/CvML-I-F (3’ cDNA ends) primer combinations. Ultimate PCR products were separated on agarose gel and extracted using Wizard® SV gel and PCR cleanup system (Promega, Madison, USA). Purified products were ligated into pGEM-T vector (Promega, Madison, USA) and transformed in the bacteria E. coli DH5α (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Bacteria were cultured in lysogeny broth medium (with 100 μg L⁻¹ ampicillin, final concentration) and plasmids containing insert were extracted and sequenced by extension from both ends using T7 and SP6 universal primers.

2.7. Sequence analysis

The cDNA and deduced amino acid sequences were analyzed by BLAST program (NCBI, http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/Blast.cgi) and protein motif features were predicted using the Prosite database (www.expasy.org/prosite/) and http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/pspsearch/), and the SignalP 3.0 Server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/). Multiple alignments were performed using CLUSTALW2 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/clustalw2/).

2.8. RNA labeling

One cDNA product (186 bp, using primers CvML F and R, Table 1) was ligated into pGEM-T Easy Vector (Promega, Madison, WI) and used to transform E. coli DH5α bacteria (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. Positive clones were selected on lysogeny broth (LB) agar (with 100 μg L⁻¹ ampicillin, final concentration). Vector containing the cDNA insert was extracted from the bacteria using QiAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and insert orientation was determined by sequencing. Purified vector containing the cDNA insert was linearized using the restriction enzyme SspI and SacII separately (sense and anti-sense) and purified using the DNA purification kit (Promega, Madison, WI) according to manufacturer’s recommendations. Digoxigenin-UTP (DIG) labeled sense and anti-sense RNA was produced from linearized plasmid using the DIG RNA labeling Kit (SP6/T7) (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN).

2.9. In situ hybridization (ISH)

Oyster tissues were fixated in 10% formalin for 48 h before being dehydrated in an ascending ethanol series, embedded in paraffin blocks and cut in serial sections (5 μm thickness). Four consecutive sections were processed for standard hematoxylin–eosin staining (1 section) or for in situ hybridization (3 sections). The latter sections were deparaffinized in xylene, rehydrated through a descending ethanol series, and equilibrated in diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated water. The sections were then digested with Proteinase K in PBS (50 μg ml⁻¹) and permeabilized with Triton X-100 in PBS (0.1%). Sections were then post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in DEPC-treated water, rinsed with PBS containing active DEPC (0.1%) and processed for ISH according to the protocols described by Braissant and Wahl (40). Two serial sections were separately hybridized with the anti-sense (test) or sense (negative control) probes (600 ng ml⁻¹). No probe was added to the third serial section which was used as another negative control slide. Following incubation (overnight at 60 °C), slides were added with an anti-digoxigenin antibody coupled with alkaline phosphatase (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN). Positive reactions were revealed using nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT), BCIP (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate) and levamisole. Slides were finally counter-stained with fast red solution and sections were dehydrated, mounted with glass coverslips, and observed under a brightfield microscope.

2.10. Effect of bacterial challenge and starvation on CvML transcription

Eighty-four oysters were v-notched as described above before being acclimated in the laboratory for a minimum of 1 week (salinity of 28, 15 °C) where they were fed daily (15% dry weight) using DT’s Live Marine Phytoplankton (Sycamore, IL) (41). After 1 week, animals were randomly divided into six equal groups that were submitted to the following treatments. The first group (Group 1) received a V. alginolyticus suspension (100 μl containing 1 × 10⁷ bacteria) injected into the adductor muscle. The second group (Group 2) was injected into muscle with 100 μl of filtered artificial seawater and served as a control for Group 1. Following injection, oysters were maintained out of water for 1.5 h before being transferred into their respective 40-L tanks filled with filtered and ultraviolet treated seawater. A third group (Group 3) of oysters was continuously maintained in seawater and served as unaltered control for oysters in Groups 4, 5 and 6. In addition to injection into muscle tissue, we tested the effect of bacterial bath exposure on CvML transcription. Seawater tank containing oysters from the fourth group (Group 4) was added with 50 ml of a V. alginolyticus suspension (10⁶ cells/ml) to obtain 1.25 × 10⁷ cells/ml final bacterial concentration. Oysters from the fifth group (Group 5) were added with a suspension of mineral particles (Kaolin) at a final concentration of 0.6 mg l⁻¹ (42). Oysters from these 5 groups were kept under constant salinity and temperature conditions (salinity of 28, 15 °C) and were fed daily as described above. The last group (Group 6) was not fed and was intended to evaluate the effect of starvation on CvML transcription. After 6 and 72 h, six oysters from each group were sacrificed: digestive gland, mantle, gills and labial palps were dissected, flash-frozen and conserved at −80 °C until processing for RNA extraction. The expression of CvML transcripts in tissues was measured using Real-time PCR. Total RNA was extracted from tissues and single-strand cDNA was synthesized as described above. One set of gene-specific primers was used to amplify a product of 140 bp and 185 ribosomal RNA was used as housekeeping gene (Table 1, qPCR). Real-time PCR assay was carried out in an Eppendorf RealPlex cycler with 6 μl of 1:15 diluted cDNA. The amplifications were performed in a 20 μl reaction volume containing 1 × Brilliant II SYBR green qPCR Master mix (Stratagene) and 100 nM of each primers. Thermal profile for real-time PCR assay was an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 50 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing and extension at 60 °C for 1 min. Each run was followed by a melting curve program for quality control. PCR efficiency (E) was determined for each primer pair (including the housekeeping gene 18S rRNA) by determining the slope of standard curves obtained from serial dilution analysis of cDNA. The comparative CT method (2⁻ΔΔCT method) was used to determine the expression level of CvML among tissues (43). Data obtained from Real-time PCR analysis were subjected to t-test (SigmaStat, version 3.1). Differences were considered significant at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Identification of CvML

The complete sequence of the CvML consisted of a 610 bp encoding for a predicted peptide of 161 amino acids (Fig. 1) with a theoretical isoelectric point of 5.56 and an estimated molecular weight of 18.2 kDa (http://au.expasy.org/tools/pi_tool.html).
3.2. Sequence comparison of CvML with other C-type lectins

The sequence analysis of CvML indicated some levels of homology (26–80% amino acid identity, Table 2) with previously described C-type lectins and similarity for specific characteristics of these proteins, including their calcium and carbohydrate binding residues (Table 2 and Fig. 2). In CvML, the CRD (carbohydrate recognition domain) consists of 124 residues (Phe21–Glu152, Fig. 1) located in the C-terminal of the protein (www.expasy.org/prosite/). CvML displays several characteristics of C-type lectins, including a matching pattern for Cys1–Cys124, four consensus cysteine residues (Cys48, Cys124, Cys141, Cys148) and one optional cysteine (Cys149) that are expected to form disulfide bonds [12]. Like most of the C-type lectins, CvML also presents a conserved WID residue (Trp136, Ile137, Asp138) that is considered to be the principal site for calcium binding [44,45]. The pattern HDC (His122, Asp123, Cys124) is also present within the sequence and is suspected to represent a secondary calcium binding site. Additionally, CvML shows a YPD motif (Tyr116, Pro117, Asp118) determinant for sugar specificity, also found in the C. gigas C-type lectin-1. The first 25 amino acid residues from the N-terminus are mostly unchanged, hydrophobic and show homology to signal peptides known to be present in secreted proteins (www.expasy.org/prosite/). One N-glycosylation site (Asn119, Arg120, Thr121) and one EGF-like domain (epidermal growth factor, from 18 to 31) was detected in the CvML sequence (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/pssrsearch/index.html).

3.3. Localization of CvML transcripts in oyster tissue

Positive PCR signals were detected in all analyzed tissues except hemocytes and adductor muscle (Fig. 3). Only very faint signals were detected in the gonad likely as a result of contamination with peripheral tissues and/or digestive gland. CvML mRNA was detected by in situ hybridization (antisense probe) in specific cells within the epithelial layer of the digestive tract, as well as pallial organs: mantle, gills, and labial palps (Fig. 4). In situ hybridization signals were absent from hemocytes, muscle and gonad, confirming PCR trends (Fig. 3). The examination of serial sections processed for in situ hybridization or stained with hematoxylin–eosin allowed a more precise localization of production sites and indicated that CvML transcripts are present in the mucocytes lining the epithelium of the digestive tubules and the pallial organs. In labial palps, the CvML transcripts are mainly localized in the palp troughs (concave) area as well as in the posterior area of the palp lerp crests (Fig. 4).

3.4. CvML-mRNA expression after starvation and bacterial challenge

Variation of CvML expression in oyster gills, labial palps, mantle and digestive gland was investigated in response to four treatments (bacterial injection into the circulatory system, bacterial bath exposure, starvation and bath exposure to inert mineral particles). Six hours after each treatment, no significant changes were observed in the different tissues compared to their corresponding controls (Fig. 5A), although a weak increase in CvML transcripts level was observed in pallial organs (e.g. labial palps and/or mantle) after the addition of kaolin and bacteria in seawater (bath exposure). Similarly, the injection of bacteria in the circulatory system slightly increased CvML expression in gills. After 72 h, transcripts level of CvML was similar in unaltered oysters and in oysters added with kaolin to all tested organs except for labial palps where a 17-fold increase in expression level was measured (Fig. 5B, t-test, p = 0.01). Seventy-two hours of starvation induced a significant increase in CvML expression in labial palps (91,404-fold, t-test, p < 0.001), mantle (211-fold, t-test, p = 0.001) and gills (120-fold, t-test, p = 0.002) of C. virginica compared to fed controls (Fig. 5B). Similarly, bacterial bath exposure caused a significant increase in the expression of CvML in labial palps (147,904-fold, t-test, p < 0.001), mantle (279-fold, t-test, p = 0.003) and gills (112-fold, t-test, p < 0.001) but not in the digestive gland.

Table 2

Lectins presenting similarities with CvML based on BLAST comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein ID</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Fragment size</th>
<th>E-values (E), Identity (I) with amino acid (%)</th>
<th>Ligands</th>
<th>Sugar binding site</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CvML</td>
<td>Crassostrea gigas (oyster), digestive gland</td>
<td>161 aa</td>
<td>E = 6e–74, I = 115/143 (80%)</td>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>YPD</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAB73535</td>
<td>Crassostrea gigas (oyster), digestive gland</td>
<td>158 aa</td>
<td>E = 2e–28, I = 59/158 (37%)</td>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>QPD</td>
<td>Zhu et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOS17444</td>
<td>Argopecten irradians (scallop), hemocytes</td>
<td>176 aa</td>
<td>E = 1e–17, I = 137/28 (28%)</td>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>Unpublished (GeneBank)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOS17444</td>
<td>Salmo salar (salmon), undefined</td>
<td>168 aa</td>
<td>E = 5e–16, I = 43/159 (27%)</td>
<td>Unnamed</td>
<td>EPD</td>
<td>Zheng et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOS17444</td>
<td>Chlamys forreri (scallop), all body</td>
<td>130 aa</td>
<td>E = 1e–16, I = 44/172 (25%)</td>
<td>Unnamed</td>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>Unpublished (GeneBank)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOS17444</td>
<td>Pinctada fucata (oyster), undefined</td>
<td>168 aa</td>
<td>E = 1e–16, I = 44/172 (25%)</td>
<td>Unnamed</td>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>Unpublished (GeneBank)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOS17444</td>
<td>Energa aestuarii (mosquito), undefined</td>
<td>154 aa</td>
<td>E = 1e–13, I = 45/151 (29%)</td>
<td>Unnamed</td>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>Unpublished (GeneBank)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOS17444</td>
<td>Anabrus simplex (eel), skin mucus</td>
<td>166 aa</td>
<td>E = 7e–13, I = 45/154 (29%)</td>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>EPN</td>
<td>Taijs et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOS17444</td>
<td>Mytilus edulis (mussel), pallial organs, intestine</td>
<td>152 aa</td>
<td>E = 3e–11, I = 41/157 (26%)</td>
<td>Unnamed</td>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>Pales Espinosa et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOS17444</td>
<td>Cardia orbicularis (crab), gill extract</td>
<td>148 aa</td>
<td>E = 4e–09, I = 36/135 (26%)</td>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>EPN</td>
<td>Gourndine et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. virginica ........................ MSLPPLLTLSSLVS...........VCLCDGCGWANFEGCICFYSDHKTFDAA... 46
C. gigas ........................ MSVPLPLLTLSSLVS...........VCLCDGCGWANFEGCICFYSDHKTFDART... 46
A. irradians ........................ RHEANTVLEMAASPWLFSTSFVSAGF-FLYVSCPTSPGWIFQCEFLPGASKWMDAA..... 59
A. japonica ........................ MVSTPPNMLIFVLAVGSLTLTSEQDATCPDOPQGWPFNGNOCPCFRYDLPNFLWREAE.... 55
C. orbicularis ........................ MKLVAPPLVLVLLVGGI...........TAAAGCPCQWQTLGDCYIQSQAASWAQS...... 47
A. aegypti ........................ MFGATSISKLIVL...........VLFLQCCCTHAQFN........FHAISEATNWFEASE... 43

C. virginica RCHRMIBRSYLVITVDNPKADIFRNFLSAL--HHFHPGTYGWLGNDYIKEGFWSETGSP.. 104
C. gigas ........................ CRHHMRSMYTVLITQNDPADYIRNFKSLF--HHFQPGYGWLGNDYIVEQWERMSTGTTG.. 104
A. irradians ........................ YCM--IRGGILASVHNSVEFQFLR-ELAND--SDQPSMFWILTDGIEKTMWGGD.... 109
A. japonica ........................ SQTLALGGIAPDCTACEVNHLMCREN--DAQGSPFPGWLQGQKQ---GAWQWSSSGA.... 101
C. orbicularis ........................ YCH--RRSMMLAVSEAKNHALNVAAMATGSLDHYGSHFYQWLQGTLQDTGLQTFWRETGK.. 101
A. aegypti ........................ YCH--RRSMMLAVSEAKNHALNVAAMATGSLDHYGSHFYQWLQGTLQDTGLQTFWRETGK.. 101

4. Discussion

Most lectins described in marine organisms are either closely associated to hemocytes or hemolymph or are suspected or found to be involved in self-defense. In the present study, we identified a secretory C-type lectin specifically produced in mucocytes associated with the epithelial layer covering the digestive gland and the pallial organs of the eastern oyster C. virginica. This new lectin was tentatively named CvML (C. virginica Mucocyte Lectin). We further demonstrated increase in CvML transcript levels in response to starvation and presence of bacteria in the pallial cavity but not to bacterial injection into the circulatory system. Based on these evidences, we suggest that CvML could be involved in the capture of particles (microalgae or pathogen), therefore contributing to oyster mucosal immunity.

CvML was detected in mucocytes lining the digestive gland and pallial organs of C. virginica, including mantle, gills, and labial palps but not in internal organs and tissues such as gonads or hemocytes. The secretory nature of this lectin was strongly suggested by the presence of a peptide signal at the N-terminus part of the protein [46,47] and is consistent with its location in mucocytes, which secrete mucus covering digestive tract and pallial organs. Current results do not allow us to confirm that CvML is in fact released in oyster mucus although this is likely the case. For instance, mucosal lectins have been described in specialized epithelial cells in several fish species [12,48–50] before being secreted into mucus. Skin mucus is one of the most important defensive barriers in fish [51] and therefore, it is not surprising that mucosal lectins have been suggested or found to act as important defense molecules against pathogens [12,52]. Mucosal lectins have also been described in several invertebrates [15,18,53–55] but their origin and function were not always clearly established. Bulgheresi et al. [15] have however shown that Laxus oneistus, a marine nematode, produces a C-type lectin located in the posterior glandular sensory organs underlying the animal cuticle. This lectin is secreted onto the cuticle surface along with mucus and was suggested to mediate the colonization of the worm by their bacterial symbionts.

Further analysis revealed that CvML sequence presents several similarities with C-type lectins identified in the digestive gland of the oyster C. gigas, in the hemocytes of the scallop A. irradians, and in the skin mucus of anguilliformes such as the Japanese eel Anguilla japonica (Table 2 and Fig. 2). They all share similar patterns and have comparable size ranges (130–180 amino acids). In addition, the CRD (carbohydrate recognition domain) sequence of CvML includes two putative sites, WID and HDC, for calcium binding [28,56] as well as a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58]. For example, the QPD motif (Gln, Pro, Asp) has a high affinity for galactose and resembling residues [59] before being a triplet (X-Pro-Y) known to be involved in carbohydrate binding [57,58].
range allowing mucus to interact and bind carbohydrates covering the cell surface of a diverse group of microorganisms.

Further experiments were conducted to establish the function of CvML in oyster based on the limited information on the function of pallial mucus in bivalve immunity. As a matter of fact, our recent investigations in bivalves demonstrated that mucus covering pallial organs (gills, palps) contains lectins that are involved in the mechanism of food particle selection [18,19,36]. It is well known that suspension-feeding bivalves (including oysters) are able to sort particles using their gills and/or labial palps to enhance the nutritive value of consumed particles by ingesting preferentially particles of interest while undesirable ones (such as detritus or mineral particles) are rejected in pseudofeces before ingestion [60,61]. Several factors are known to control this mechanism, but we recently demonstrated that biochemical recognition, via lectins present in pallial mucus, mediates particle selection as well [18,19,36]. Based on these prior results, we hypothesized that starvation and the increase of the mineral load (and consequently the dilution of nutritive particles) could affect CvML gene transcription level. Results of real-time PCR indicated a clear induction of the lectin in pallial tissues after 3 days of starvation. Moreover, the addition of mineral particles (kaolin) in seawater also caused a significant increase in CvML transcript levels in labial palps.

Upregulation of CvML in gills and, above all, in labial palps of starved oysters may reflect an attempted increase in the efficiency of capture of nutritive particles, further supporting the involvement of this lectin in particle selection mechanism. Our recent studies on a similar mucosal lectin identified in M. edulis (MeML) demonstrated that 5 days starvation induced a significant increase in lectin expression in gills and labial palps [35]. These findings strongly support the role of these 2 bivalve mucosal lectins in particle capture/selection.

Invertebrate lectins have been reported to be involved in various immune responses, including opsonisation [62–64], antibacterial activity [65,66], prophenoloxidase activation [24,67], and encapsulation and melanization [68,69]. In addition, pathogen challenge (including bacteria and protists) is known to induce an over-expression of lectin genes in various bivalve species [27,70–72]. In our study, however, injection of the opportunistic pathogen V. alginolyticus did not induce significant changes in lectin expression in any tested organ and only a weak increase of CvML was detected in oyster gills 6 h post-inoculation. Nevertheless, our results showed significant upregulation of CvML following bath exposure to the same bacteria. These results suggest that CvML can be regulated in response to external stimuli perceived at the pallial interfaces. Bacteria injected into circulation and their products are unlikely to reach CvML-producing mucocytes and for this reason may not regulate CvML expression in spite of the important stress caused by bacterial injection and the likely changes in several hemolymph parameters. On the other hand, bath exposure to bacteria appears to provide the signaling needed to initiate CvML regulation. A prior study by Allam et al. [73] showed significant increase in lysozyme activity in the extrapallial fluid (fluid located between the mantle and shell) but not in hemolymph from clams one day after inoculation of Vibrio tapetis into the mantle (shell) cavity fluid. These authors concluded that local signaling at the pallial surfaces can initiate local (as opposed to systemic) regulation of specific defense factors. Our results also support this scenario and highlight the role of pallial surfaces as a second defense line beyond the shell. Therefore, mucus covering pallial organs appears
to play a central role in the interactions with waterborne particles whether these particles are nutritious [18,35] or noxious (this study). With that regard, CvML could act passively by immobilizing waterborne microorganisms in mucus, facilitating their elimination through ingestion and subsequent digestion or rejection in pseudofeces. Dual functions of mucosal lectins have been previously proposed in the coral Acropora millepora. In this species, the mucus production plays a role in recognition in a coral/dinoflagellate symbiosis. Nat Rev Microbiol 2004;2:632–642.

CvML is a novel putative C-type lectin identified in the eastern oyster, Crassostrea virginica. More specifically, this lectin was detected in the mucusocytes lining the epithelium of the digestive gland and the pallial organs, which are in direct contact with seawater and are used by oysters to process waterborne particles. The expression of this lectin was strongly regulated after starvation and bacterial bath exposure. Taken together, these findings support the involvement of CvML in particle capture (and/or selection) and in oyster mucosal immunity. Further studies are needed to determine the specific role of this lectin in particle (food, pathogens, etc.) processing and its possible participation in other physiological functions. Finally, CvML regulation in oysters in different life stages and physiological conditions should be evaluated.
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