

# 1.1 ASSESSMENT OF BASE PLANS, EVACUATION ZONES, RESPONSE CAPABILITIES AND GAPS (NYU)





## EVALUATED COUNTIES

By the terms of Federal law, activities using NYS CDBG-DR funding may be funded in the following Counties: (April 25,2013)





### CODING PROTOCOL

#### **Binary** scale:


0 not included and 1 if a brief

#### **Ordinal** scale:

0 not included, 1 if a brief, and 2 with a clear & detailed narrative description

#### Normalize:

All indexes being on a 0.0 to 10.0 scale, which allows comparability across principles of plan quality.





## PRINCIPLE RESULTS




Figure 1: Plan Basics (3 items)




Figure 2: Participation (10 items)




Figure 3: Inter Organization Coordination (12 items)




Figure 4: Hazard Identification (42 items)





Figure 5: Capability Assessment (28 items)





10.0 8.0 6.0 4.0 2.0 0.0 ANDORT Scoance Cremuno Clintor Countric Securacie Futtor

Figure 7: Proposed Action (41 items) 10.0 9.0 8.0 6.88 6.88 7.0 5.63 6.0 5.00 5.0 4.0 2.50 3.0 1.25 2.0 1.0

Figure 8: Monitoring (8 items)

# STANDARD DEVIATION FROM THE MEAN

Less than -1.0



-.99-0.00




0.00-1.00



Greater than 1.0



Map1: Plan Basics



**Map 2: Participation** 

Those plans more than one standard deviation above the mean are considered higher quality and those plans more than one standard deviation below the mean are considered lower quality.



**Map 3: Inter Organization Coordination** 



Map 4: Hazard Identification

# STANDARD DEVIATION FROM THE MEAN

Less than -1.0



-.99-0.00



0.00-1.00



Greater than 1.0



**Map 5: Capability Assessment** 



Map 6: Goals

Those plans more than one standard deviation above the mean are considered higher quality and those plans more than one standard deviation below the mean are considered lower quality.



**Map 7: Proposed Action** 



Map 8: Monitoring