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- The connectivity of a graph $G$, written $\kappa(G)$, is the minimum size of a vertex set $S$ such that $G-S$ is disconnected.
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- The connectivity of a graph $G$, written $\kappa(G)$, is the minimum size of a vertex set $S$ such that $G-S$ is disconnected.
- The edge-connectivity of a graph $G$, written $\kappa^{\prime}(G)$, is the minimum size of an edge set $F$ such that $G-F$ is disconnected.
The connectivity and the edge-connectivity of a graph measure the difficulty of breaking the graph apart. However, since these values are based on a worst-case situation, it does not reflect the "global (edge) connectedness" of the graph.


Figure: Two Graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ with $\kappa=\kappa^{\prime}=1$

The average connectivity of a graph $G$ with $n$ vertices, written $\bar{\kappa}(G)$, is $\frac{\sum_{u, v \in V(G)} \kappa(u, v)}{\binom{n}{2}}$, where $\kappa(u, v)$ is the minimum number of vertices whose deletion makes $v$ unreachable from $u$.

The average edge-connectivity of a graph $G$ with $n$ vertices, written $\overline{\kappa^{\prime}}(G)$, is $\frac{\sum_{u, v \in V(G)} \kappa^{\prime}(u, v)}{\binom{n}{2}}$, where $\kappa^{\prime}(u, v)$ is the minimum number of edges whose deletion makes $v$ unreachable from $u$.


Figure: Two Graphs with $\bar{\kappa}\left(G_{1}\right)=\overline{\kappa^{\prime}}\left(G_{1}\right)=\frac{27}{7}$ and $\bar{\kappa}\left(G_{2}\right)=\overline{\kappa^{\prime}}\left(G_{2}\right)=\frac{12}{7}$

## and Matching Number

In 2002, Beineke, Oellermann and Pippert introduced the average connectivity and found several properties of it.

## Theorem (Dankelmann and Oellermann 2003)

If $G$ has average degree $\bar{d}$ and $n$ vertices, then $\frac{\bar{d}^{2}}{n-1} \leq \bar{\kappa}(G) \leq \bar{d}$.
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## Theorem (Kim and O 2013)

For a connected graph $G, \bar{\kappa}(G) \leq 2 \alpha^{\prime}(G)$, and this is sharp. Furthermore, if $G$ is connected and bipartite, then $\bar{\kappa}(G) \leq\left(\frac{9}{8}-\frac{3 n-4}{8 n^{2}-8 n}\right) \alpha^{\prime}(G)$, and this is sharp.
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- Let $M$ be a maximum matching in $G$ and let $S=V(G)-M$.
- For $v v^{\prime} \in M$, put $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ into $T, T^{\prime}$ and $R$ as follows: If neither $v$ nor $v^{\prime}$ has a neighbor in $S$, then put both in $T$. If $v^{\prime}$ has a neighbor in $S$ and $v$ does not, then put $v$ in $T$ and $v^{\prime}$ in $T^{\prime}$.
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For a connected graph $G, \bar{\kappa}(G) \leq 2 \alpha^{\prime}(G)$. This is sharp only for complete graphs with an odd number of vertices.
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$t=0$ requires $s=1$. $G$ is the complete graph with $n$ vertices.
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## and Average Connectivity



Figure: $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{G})=1+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{q}-1$
The above graphs show that there can be a huge gap between average edge-connectivity and average connectivity.
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Question 1. What is the largest gap between the average edge-connectivity and the average connectivity in an $n$-vertex connected graph?
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Question 2. What is the largest ratio of the average edge-connectivity and the average connectivity in an $n$-vertex connected graph?
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If $G$ is a connected cubic graph with $n$ vertices, other than $K_{4}$, then $\binom{n}{2} \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G) \leq\binom{ n}{2}+\frac{7 n+58}{4}$. Equality holds only for graphs in a special family.

Sketch of proof: Consider a minimal counterexample G.
$\kappa^{\prime}(G)=1:$
Every 1-balloon of $G$ is $B_{1}$.
Every 2-balloon of $G$ is $B_{1}^{\prime}$.
There are no $i$-balloons in $G$ for $i \geq 3$.
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Suppose that $r$ is odd. Let $B_{r}=\overline{P_{3}+\frac{r-1}{2} K_{2}}$ and $B_{r}^{\prime}=K_{r+1}-e$. For odd $r$, we guess that the graph obtained from the graph in the special family by replacing $B_{1}$ and $B_{1}^{\prime}$ with $B_{r}$ and $B_{r}^{\prime}$ are the extremal graphs.
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