Supplementary: Predicting the visual attention of pathologists evaluating whole slide images of cancer

Souradeep Chakraborty, Rajarsi Gupta, Ke Ma, Darshana Govind, Pinaki Sarder, Won-Tak Choi, Waqas Mahmud, Eric Yee, Felicia Allard, Beatrice Knudsen, Gregory Zelinsky, Joel Saltz, and Dimitris Samaras

Magnification at viewport centers Ground truth Attention Heatmap H&E WSI and Scanpath tumor annotation ProstAttNet Specialist-derived PathAttFormer PathAttFormer + CRF PathAttFormer + SA attention heatmap Case 1: TCGA-G9-6363 Magnification at Ground truth tumor annotation Attention Heatmap H&E WSI ort center and Scanpath ResNet34 ViT ProstAttNet DA-MI Specialist-derived attention heatmap PathAttFormer + CRF PathAttForme PathAttFormer + SA

1 Additional attention heatmap prediction results

Case 2: TCGA-EJ-7784

Fig. 1. Visualization of attention data from the GU specialist on 2 test WSIs of Prostate (rows 1 and 4). We compare the predicted attention heatmaps to the specialist-derived attention heatmap using the compared models (rows 2, 3, 5 and 6). PathAttFormer+SA best predicts the specialist-derived attention heatmap and the tumor segmentation.

2 S. Chakraborty et al.



Fig. 2. Visualization of attention data from a single pathologist on two test WSI instances of GI-NET. We also compare the predicted attention heatmaps to the pathologist-derived attention heatmap for the same WSI instances using the compared models (in rows 3 and 6). PathAttFormer+SA best predicts the pathologist-derived attention heatmap (N = 2) and the tumor segmentation mask.